| 2012 JUDGES SCORING SHEET FOR CURRENT ISSUE - "Non Point Source Pollution/Low Impact Developme | nt" |
|--|-----|
| Team/School: | |

| PRESENTATION SKILLS | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------|------------------------------|---|------------------------|---|---------------------|-------|--|
| | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | SCORE | |
| Good Presentation Skills: Eye contact, originality, professional, well organized, logical, group participation | Not at all | Majority show limited skills | | All do an adequate job | | Extremely effective | | |
| Team Member Participation 1pt/member participating * | | | | | | | | |

^{*} If there are less than 5 team members, team will receive a full 5 points if ALL members participate

| POSTER CONTENT | | | | | | | |
|--|------------|-------------|-------------|-------------------|--|--------------------------|-------|
| | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | SCORE |
| Impact on Resources: Includes relevant info related to the impact and the complexity of LID/NPS issues in their area it. | | | | | | | |
| Visual Appearance: Appealing to the eye, readable, neat, visually clear, supportive of conclusions | Not at all | Major flaws | Minor flaws | Correct, adequate | Information is detailed and presented in an organized manner | Creative, very effective | |
| • | | | | | | Sub Total Page 1 | |

TIMING (During the regional test)

5 MINUTES: TEAM PRESENTATION (Notify students of time at 3 minutes and 4 minutes)

2 MINUTES: QUESTION PERIOD (Use to clarify any issues or to go into more depth. Adjust the score accordingly.

| SCORING | |
|-------------------|--|
| Sub Total, Page 1 | |
| Sub Total, Page 2 | |
| GRAND TOTAL | |

50 points is the maximum score on Current Issue

| | | CRITERIA | : Presentation Co | _ | • | | |
|---|-------------------|---|--|--|---|---|-------------|
| Score: | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | SCORE |
| Explanation: | Not at all | Major misconceptions, gaps between observations and conclusions, ineffective, inadequate, inappropriate | Some misconceptions, minimally effective, somewhat appropriate | Complete, accurate, effective, appropriate | Complete, very detailed, logical, ideas well supported, well organized, all details appropriate | Profound, in depth, insightful, extremely effective, observation & conclusions point to a well-rounded and effective managemen plan | for categor |
| | | PRESE | NTATION CONTE | NT | | | |
| Criteria | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | SCORE |
| Jnderstands the importance of LID/NPS in the environment in the area as it relates to the project. | | | | | | | |
| Understands the impact of LID/NPS on economic & social aspects of their area as it relates o their project. | | | | | | | |
| Understands the complexity of LID/NPS in their area including all he major interrelated factors causing the problem. | | | | | | | |
| dentifies stakeholders and issues nvolved and is able to develop solution strategies related to these ssues.* | | | | | | | |
| Presents a complete plan of action that includes well-stated goals, series of appropriate and achievable objectives, and a proposed timeline for implementation, including who will be involved. This could include practices that are already in place that you feel are beneficial and should be continued. | | | | | | | |
| Explain how one would balance he environmental, economic, and social aspect of this issue, without eopardizing the integrity of the environment. | | | | | | | |
| *Issues may also include environme | ental or other ba | asic concerns not tie | ed to a specific hu | man group | | Sub Total, Page 2 | |